

Quasi-contraction mappings

warming up

X MS

$T: X \rightarrow X$

Def.

$T: X \rightarrow X$ ρ -contraction

$\Leftrightarrow \exists \rho \in [0, 1): \forall x, y \in X,$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \rho d(x, y)$$

Def.

$$M(x, n) \equiv \max \{ d(x, Tx), d(x, T^2x), \dots, d(x, T^{n-1}x), d(x, T^n x) \}$$

• $\forall x \in X, M(x, n) \leq M(x, n+1) \leq \dots$

• $\forall x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}.$

$\exists k \in \{1, \dots, n\}: M(x, n) = d(x, T^k x)$

Lemma

X MS

$T: X \rightarrow X$ ρ -contraction

$x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

$$\Rightarrow M(x, n) \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx)$$

Proof.

It follows that

$$M(x, n) \equiv \max \{d(x, Tx), \dots, d(x, T^n x)\}$$

$$= d(x, T^k x) \text{ where } k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\leq d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, T^k x)$$

$$\leq d(x, Tx) + \rho d(x, T^{k-1} x)$$

$$\leq d(x, Tx) + \rho M(x, n).$$

We have $(1-\rho)M(x, n) \leq d(x, Tx)$.

$$\text{As } 1-\rho > 0, \quad M(x, n) \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx).$$

//

Th
 X CMS
 $T: X \rightarrow X$ ρ -contraction
 $\Rightarrow \exists! x^* \in F(T): \forall x \in X, T^n x \rightarrow x^*$

Proof.

<Existence>

Let $x \in X$ and define $x_n = T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).

We show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}: m > n$.

We have

$$d(x_n, x_m)$$

$$= d(x_n, T^{m-n} x_n)$$

$$\leq M(x_n, m-n)$$

$$= d(x_n, T^k x_n) \text{ where } k=1, \dots, m-n.$$

$$= d(T x_{n-1}, T^{k+1} x_{n-1})$$

$$\leq \rho d(x_{n-1}, T^k x_{n-1})$$

$$\leq \rho M(x_{n-1}, m-n)$$

...

$$\leq \rho^n M(x, m-n)$$

$$\leq \rho^n \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (} m, n \rightarrow \infty \text{).}$$

As X is complete, $\exists x^* \in X: x_n \rightarrow x^*$.

$$\underline{x^* = Tx^*}$$

As T is continuous,

$$Tx^* = T(\lim x_n) = \lim Tx_n = \lim x_{n+1} = x^* \quad \rfloor$$

(Uniqueness)

Let $x^*, y^* \in F(T)$.

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, y^*) &= d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \\ &\leq \rho d(x^*, y^*). \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore (1-\rho)d(x^*, y^*) \leq 0.$$

As $1-\rho > 0$, we obtain $x^* = y^*$. //

Def

X MS

$T: X \rightarrow X$ ρ -quasi-contraction

$\Leftrightarrow \exists \rho \in [0, 1): \forall x, y \in X$

$d(Tx, Ty)$

$\leq \rho \cdot \max \{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty),$
 $d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y) \}$

$$A \subset X, \neq \emptyset$$

$$\delta(A) = \sup \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}$$

$$T: X \rightarrow X$$

$$x \in X$$

Def.

$$O(x, n) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots, T^n x\}$$

$$(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$$

$$O(x, \infty) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \delta(O(x, 0)) &= 0 \\ &\leq \delta(O(x, 1)) \leq \delta(O(x, 2)) \leq \dots \\ &\quad \forall x \in X \end{aligned}$$

$$\bullet \delta(O(x, \infty)) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(O(x, n))$$

$$\bullet O(x, 0) = \{x\}$$

$$\therefore f(O(x, 0)) = 0$$

$$\bullet O(x, 1) = \{x, Tx\}$$

$$\therefore f(O(x, 1)) = d(x, Tx)$$

$$\bullet O(x, 2) = \{x, Tx, T^2x\}$$

$$\therefore f(O(x, 2))$$

$$= \max \left\{ d(x, Tx), d(x, T^2x), \right. \\ \left. d(Tx, T^2x) \right\}$$

$$\bullet O(x, 3) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x\}$$

$$\therefore f(O(x, 3))$$

$$= \max \left\{ d(x, Tx), d(x, T^2x), d(x, T^3x), \right. \\ \left. d(Tx, T^2x), d(Tx, T^3x), \right. \\ \left. d(T^2x, T^3x) \right\}$$

Lemma A

X M.S

$T: X \rightarrow X$ ρ -quasi-contraction

$x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^i x, T^j x) &\leq \rho \cdot \delta(O(x, \max\{i, j\})) \\ &\leq \rho \cdot \delta(O(x, n)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

Note that

$$T^{i-1}x, T^i x, T^{j-1}x, T^j x \in O(x, \max\{i, j\}),$$

where $T^0 x = x$.

As T is ρ -quasi-contraction,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^i x, T^j x) &\leq \rho \cdot \max\{d(T^{i-1}x, T^{j-1}x), \\ &\quad d(T^{i-1}x, T^i x), d(T^{j-1}x, T^j x), \\ &\quad d(T^{i-1}x, T^j x), d(T^i x, T^{j-1}x)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \rho \cdot \delta(O(x, \max\{i, j\}))$$

$$\leq \rho \cdot \delta(O(x, n)).$$

//

Lemma B.

X M.S

$T: X \rightarrow X$ ρ -quasi-contraction

$x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow \delta(O(x, n))$

$$= \max \{ d(x, Tx), d(x, T^2x), \dots, d(x, T^n x) \}$$

Proof.

Suppose that $\delta(O(x, n)) = d(Tx, T^j x)$
for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

From Lemma A,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(O(x, n)) &= d(Tx, T^j x) \\ &\leq \rho \cdot \delta(O(x, j)) \\ &\leq \rho \cdot \delta(O(x, n)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore (1 - \rho) \delta(O(x, n)) \leq 0.$$

$$\therefore \delta(O(x, n)) = 0.$$

In this case, R.H.S of (*) = 0.

$$\therefore \text{L.H.S} = \text{R.H.S} (= 0).$$

//

Lemma C

X M.S

$T: X \rightarrow X$ ρ -quasi-contraction

$x \in X$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(O(x, \infty)) \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx)$$

Proof.

$$\text{As } \delta(O(x, \infty)) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(O(x, n)),$$

it is sufficient to prove that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \delta(O(x, n)) \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx).$$

From Lemma B,

$$\exists k \in \{1, \dots, n\} : d(x, T^k x) = \delta(O(x, n)).$$

Using Lemma A, we have

$$\delta(O(x, n)) = d(x, T^k x)$$

$$\leq d(x, Tx) + \underline{d(Tx, T^k x)}$$

$$\leq d(x, Tx) + \rho \cdot \underline{\delta(O(x, n))}$$

Lemma A

$$\therefore \delta(O(x, n)) \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx). //$$

Def.

X MS

$T: X \rightarrow X$

$X: \underline{T\text{-orbitally complete}}$

$\Leftrightarrow \{x_n\} \subset X$ Cauchy sequence.

$\exists x \in X: \{x_n\} \subset O(x, \infty)$

$= \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}$

$\Rightarrow \{x_n\}: \text{convergent}$

X complete
 X T -orbitally complete
*

ex

$$X = [0, 2)$$

$$T: X \rightarrow X$$

$$Tx = \frac{1}{2}x \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$\text{Then, } O(x, \infty) = \left\{ x, \frac{1}{2}x, \frac{1}{4}x, \dots, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}x, \dots \right\}$$

where $x \in X$.

In this case, X is T -orbitally complete.

However, X is not complete.

Lemma A

X M.S

$T: X \rightarrow X$ p -quasi-contraction

$x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$d(T^i x, T^j x) \leq p \cdot \delta(O(x, \max\{i, j\})) \\ \leq p \cdot \delta(O(x, n))$$

Lemma B

X M.S

$T: X \rightarrow X$ p -quasi-contraction

$x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow \delta(O(x, n))$

$$\leq \max\{d(x, Tx), d(x, T^2x), \dots, d(x, T^n x)\}$$

Lemma C

X M.S

$T: X \rightarrow X$ p -quasi-contraction

$x \in X$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(O(x, \infty)) \leq \frac{1}{1-p} d(x, Tx)$$

Th
 X T -orbitally CMS
 $T: X \rightarrow X$ ρ -quasi-contraction
 $\Rightarrow \exists! x^* \in F(T): \forall x \in X, T^n x \rightarrow x^*$

Proof.

<Existence>

Let $x \in X$ and define $x_n = T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).

$\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}: m \geq n$.

It follows that

$$d(x_n, x_m) = d(T^n x, T^m x)$$

$$= d(T(T^{n-1}x), T^{m-n+1}(T^{n-1}x)) \quad \text{Lemma A}$$

$$\leq \rho \cdot \mathcal{J}(O(x_{n-1}, m-n+1))$$

$$= \rho \cdot d(x_{n-1}, T^k x_{n-1}) \quad \text{Lemma B}$$

where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-n+1\}$

$$= \rho \cdot d(Tx_{n-2}, T^{k+1}x_{n-2}) \quad \text{Lemma A}$$

$$\leq \rho^2 \cdot \mathcal{J}(O(x_{n-2}, k+1))$$

$$\leq \rho^2 \cdot \mathcal{J}(O(x_{n-2}, m-n+2)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m-n+1$$

$$\leq \dots \leq \rho^n \cdot \mathcal{J}(O(x, m))$$

$$\leq \rho^n \mathcal{J}(O(x, \infty)) \leq \rho^n \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx) \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma C

As X is T -orbitally complete,

$$\exists x^* \in X: x_n \rightarrow x^*$$

$$\underline{x^* = Tx^*}$$

It holds that

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(Tx_n, Tx^*)$$

$$\leq d(x^*, x_{n+1})$$

$$+ \rho \cdot \max \left\{ d(x_n, x^*), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x^*, Tx^*), \right. \\ \left. \underline{d(x_n, Tx^*), d(x_{n+1}, x^*)} \right\}$$

$$\leq d(x^*, x_{n+1})$$

$$+ \rho \cdot \max \left\{ d(x_n, x^*), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x^*, Tx^*), \right. \\ \left. \underline{d(x_n, x^*) + d(x^*, Tx^*), d(x_{n+1}, x^*)} \right\}$$

$$\leq d(x^*, x_{n+1})$$

$$+ \rho \left[d(x_n, x^*) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right. \\ \left. + d(x^*, Tx^*) + d(x_{n+1}, x^*) \right]$$

As $x_n \rightarrow x^*$, we obtain

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \rho d(x^*, Tx^*).$$

As $\rho \in [0, 1)$, we have $d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq 0$.

$$\therefore x^* = Tx^*. \quad \lrcorner$$

< Uniqueness >

Let $x^*, y^* \in F(T)$.

As T is ρ -quasi-contraction,

$$d(x^*, y^*)$$

$$= d(Tx^*, Ty^*)$$

$$\leq \rho \cdot \max \{ d(x^*, y^*), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(y^*, Ty^*), \\ d(x^*, Ty^*), d(Tx^*, y^*) \}$$

$$= \rho \cdot d(x^*, y^*).$$

$$\therefore (1-\rho) d(x^*, y^*) \leq 0.$$

As $1-\rho > 0$, we obtain $x^* = y^*$. //

Quasi-contraction mappings

1. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a ρ -contraction mapping, where X is a metric space. Let $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then, it holds that $M(x, n) \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx)$, where

$$M(x, n) = \max\{d(x, Tx), d(x, T^2x), \dots, d(x, T^nx)\}.$$

Prove this.

2. Prove the Banach contraction principle using the result of Problem 1.

3. For Kannan mappings or Chatterjea mappings, does the result of Problem 2 hold or not?

For $T : X \rightarrow X$, define

$$O(x, n) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots, T^nx\} \text{ and}$$

$$O(x, \infty) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots, T^nx, \dots\}.$$

Furthermore, for a subset A of a metric space X , denote by $\delta(A)$ a diameter of A , i.e.,

$$\delta(A) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in A\}.$$

4. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a ρ -quasi-contraction mapping, where X is a metric space. Let $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^ix, T^jx) &\leq \rho \delta(O(x, \max\{i, j\})) \\ &\leq \rho \delta(O(x, n)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Prove this.

5. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a ρ -quasi-contraction mapping, where X is a metric space. Let $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then, it holds that

$$\delta(O(x, n)) = \max\{d(x, Tx), d(x, T^2x), \dots, d(x, T^nx)\}.$$

Prove this.

6. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a ρ -quasi-contraction mapping, where X is a metric space. For $x \in X$, the following inequality holds:

$$\delta(O(x, \infty)) \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} d(x, Tx).$$

Prove this.

7. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a ρ -quasi-contraction mapping, where X is a T -orbitally complete metric space. Prove that T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in F(T)$, and that $T^nx \rightarrow x^*$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) for any initial point $x \in X$.

Reference

[1] Lj B. Ćirić, "A generalization of Banach's contraction principle," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 45(2) (1974): 267–273.